UNIV. BEOGRAD. PUBL. ELEKTROTEHN. FAK. Ser. Mat. Fiz. № 498-№ 541 (1975), 222-224.

ON A LOGARITHMIC INEQUALITY*

Dragutin J. Kostić

This paper is written by D. J. Kostić, student of the Faculty of Electrical Engineering, and it provides the answer to a problem set to students by Prof. D. S. Mitrinović.

Editorial Committee

The proofs of the following two theorems are given:

Theorem 1. Let $a_i > 1$ (i = 1, ..., k) be real numbers and let s be a natural number such that $1 \le s \le k - 1$. Further, let $A = \{a_i; i = 1, ..., k\}$. Let various subsets of A with s elements be denoted by A_j $\left(1 \le j \le \binom{k}{s}\right)$. Let further

$$p_{j} = \prod_{a_{i} \in A_{j}} a_{i} \quad and \quad q_{j} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}}{p_{j}} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{i}} \cdot \frac{1}{p$$

(1)
$$\binom{\binom{k}{s}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} (\log_{p_j} q_j)^r} \ge \binom{k}{s} \left(\frac{k-s}{s}\right)^r$$

holds.

539

Proof. Let us consider the function $f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right)^r$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. At the points of interval (0, 1) function f is convex if r > 2x - 1 and r > 0, i.e. if r < 2x - 1 and r < 0.

Let us put that $x_j = \log_{\alpha} p_j \left(i \le j \le {k \choose s} \right)$, where $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i$. With the above assumptions it is directly verified that $0 < x_j < 1$ for all j. From the condition $q_j^{\frac{r+1}{2}} > p_j^{\frac{1-r}{2}}$ if follows $\log_{\alpha} p_j < \frac{r+1}{2}$. Similarly, for r < 0, we have $\log_{\alpha} p_j > \frac{r+1}{2}$. Thus, in both cases the conditions for the application of JENSEN's inequality

$$f\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}y_{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}f(y_{k})$$

* Presented June 30, 1975 by I. B. LACKOVIĆ.

are fulfilled. When applied, it follows that

$$\binom{k}{s}^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{s}}\left(\frac{1}{x_j}-1\right)^r \ge \left(\binom{k}{s}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{s}}\log p_j\right)^{-1}-1\right)^r$$

and since the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{s}} \log_{\alpha} p_j = \binom{k-1}{s-1}$$

holds, inequality (1) is obtained. Thus, the theorem 1 is proved.

REMARK 1. Let us notice that inequality (1) holds even when $0 < a_i < 1$ (i = 1, ..., k) because $\log_y x = \log_\frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{x}$.

y FMARK 2. In the case when k=3, s=1, r=n (n posi

REMARK 2. In the case when k = 3, s = 1, r = n (*n* positive integer) from inequality (1) follows the inequality

$$(\log_a bc)^n + (\log_b ca)^n + (\log_c ab)^n \ge 3 \cdot 2^n.$$

REMARK 3. Monograph [1], p. 214 (inequality 3.2.46, according to denotations in [1]) contains the following result:

If n>1 is an integer and $a_{y}>0$ for $y=1, \ldots, n$, then

(2)
$$n\left(\sum_{y=1}^{n}\frac{a_{y}}{t-a_{y}}\right)^{-1} \leq n-1 \leq n^{-1} \sum_{y=1}^{n}\frac{t-a_{y}}{a_{y}},$$

where $t = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\nu}$.

We shall show that inequality (2) could be obtained from inequality (1). Let us put $a_{\nu} = \log b_{\nu} (\nu = 1, ..., n)$, where $b_{\nu} > 1$. Then on the basis of inequality (1) for k = n, s = n-1 we get

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{a_{\nu}}{t-a_{\nu}} \ge \frac{n}{n-1}$$

wherefrom the left hand side of inequality in (2) follows directly. Applying the same substitutions as in the first case, we get inequality

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{t-a_{\nu}}{a_{\nu}} \ge n(n-1)$$

from inequality (1) in the case when s=1 and k=n, wherefrom the right hand inequality in (2) follows directly.

Theorem 2. Let $x \ge 1$ be a real number, $1 \le p < k$ and $1 \le s < k$ integers, such that $p+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{s}$, and let $a_r > 0 (r=1, \ldots, k)$. Then inequality

(3)
$$\frac{a_{r+s}+a_{r+s+1}+\cdots+a_{r+s+p}}{a_r+a_{r+1}+\cdots+a_{r+s-1}} \ge k \left(\frac{p+1}{s}\right)^x$$

is valid, where $a_{k+r} = a_r (r = 1, ..., k)$.

Proof. We shall previously make a proof of (3) for x = 1, we have directly

$$\sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{a_{r+s} + \dots + a_{r+s+p}}{a_{r} + \dots + a_{r+s-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{a_{r+s} + \dots + a_{r+2s-1}}{a_{r} + \dots + a_{r+s-1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{a_{r+2s} + \dots + a_{r+3s-1}}{a_{r} + \dots + a_{r+s-1}} + \dots + \sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{a_{r+p+1} + \dots + a_{r+s+p}}{a_{r} + \dots + a_{r+s-1}}$$

$$\ge k \left(\left(\prod_{r=1}^{k} \frac{a_{r+s} + \dots + a_{r+2s-1}}{a_{r} + \dots + a_{r+s-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \dots + \left(\prod_{r=1}^{k} \frac{a_{r+p+1} + \dots + a_{r+s+p}}{a_{r} + \dots + a_{r+s-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)$$

$$= k \frac{p+1}{s}.$$

Applying the inequality between the mean of the order $x \ge 1$ and the mean of the order 1, we get inequality (4) from the previously proved inequality (see [2], statement 1, p. 67). Putting into the obtained inequality $a_r = \log b_r$, where $b_r > 1$ ($r = 1, \ldots, k$), we get

(4)
$$(\log_{b_r b_{r+1} \cdots b_{r+s-1}} b_{r+s} b_{r+s+1} \cdots b_{r+s+p})^x \ge k \left(\frac{p+1}{s}\right)^x$$

REMARK 4. For x = n (*n* positive integer), p = 1, s = 1 and k = 3 from (4) we obtain the inequality

(5)
$$(\log_a bc)^n + (\log_b ca)^n + (\log_c ab)^n \ge 3 \cdot 2^n.$$

REMARK 5. Inequality (4) holds even in the case when $0 < b_r < 1$ (r = 1, ..., k).

REMARK 6. Inequality (5) holds only when a>1 b>1, and c>1 (or a<1, b<1 and c<1). In the case that this is not fulfilled inequality (1) is shown to be not valid.

I am most thankful to Professor D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, Assistants I. B. LACKOVIĆ and S. K. SIMIĆ who have given useful remarks and suggestions during the elaboration of the present paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. S. MITRINOVIĆ (In cooperation with P. M. VASIĆ): Analytic Inequalities. Berlin---Heidelberg--New York 1970.
- 2. D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, P. M. VASIĆ: Sredine. Matematička biblioteka, sv. 40, Beograd 1968.

k